

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION ON TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Chief, Staff/SI	3 Mar	HS
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/> DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PREPARE REPLY	
<input type="checkbox"/> APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCH	<input type="checkbox"/> RECOMMENDATION	
<input type="checkbox"/> COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE	<input type="checkbox"/> RETURN	
<input type="checkbox"/> CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/> INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/> SIGNATURE	
Remarks: <p>1. Per our communication - on to AC/DCI (determining best acceptable channel for so doing) PK.</p> <p>2. [Redacted] You left this with me as you went on leave. In action (per JRP that above) Still required? HS</p>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
DAD/C/SI			2 Mar 62
UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET	

SECRET

A

2 MAR 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Staff/SI

SUBJECT: [REDACTED] Memorandum of
20 February 1962 on Coordination
of Requirements and Collection

25X1

25X1

[REDACTED] suggestion that this function be given to AC/DCI certainly has merit, as does also the earlier suggestion that CCPC be reoriented for this function. To my mind, either group could do it but either one would have to be restaffed with the necessary expertise. The AC/DCI has the advantage of being in a direct staff line to the DCI whereas a committee is always a committee. However, the placement of responsibility with the AC/DCI does leave hanging the problem of what to do with IPC, COMOR and IDC which could be quite conveniently folded in under a rejuvenated CCPC.

25X1

[REDACTED]
Deputy Assistant Director/Collection
Scientific Intelligence

Attachment:

SA/St/SI Memo dated
20 February 1962

25X1

OSI/OAD, [REDACTED] /ld/2 Mar 62

Distribution:

2 - Chief, Staff/SI
1 - SA/St/SI
2 - DAD/C/SI

SECRET

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Chief, Staff/SI	Feb 28	JPK
2	DSP/c	10 Mar	JS
3			
4			
5			
6			
ACTION		DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE REPLY
APPROVAL		DISPATCH	RECOMMENDATION
COMMENT		FILE	RETURN
CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION	SIGNATURE
Remarks: <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <p>proposal that the coordination staff be given the regular responsibility from a policy standpoint has much appeal, I think. Coincidentally <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> told <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> that <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 20px; display: inline-block;"></div> quite independently came up with some suggestions.</p> <p>The Coord. Staff may need something like this to keep it busy later on.</p> <p>The attached papers provide more background re purposes, objectives etc.</p>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
SA/Staff <i>JK</i>			20 Feb 62
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET

20 February 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Staff/SI

SUBJECT: Proposal that AC/DCI Be Given Responsibility
for Coordination of Requirements and Collection

25X1 1. Having read the mission of the Coordination Staff of the Director
25X1 of Central Intelligence, it occurs to me that without too much warping the
AC/DCI could also address itself to the coordination of requirements and
collection. This function would not obviate the need for the central facility
for handling requirements within OCR but would be an experimental stab at
the [] group we have talked of and which, in my memorandum to
[] I called the Requirements and Collection Coordinating Com-
mittee.

2. I have no argument with the suggestion that a revamped CCPC,
with a permanent Chairman and Staff could do the job. However there
are a good many ifs, starting with the need for a chairman with a thorough
comprehension of all collection problems, a lack of desire to plunge into
the minutiae of requirements, a willingness to continue and cooperate with
IPC, COMOR and IDC, and a real ability to coordinate efforts towards an
optimum raw intelligence product. I have a feeling that such a chairman
is not easy to come by and also, that we appear to be running into an era
where suspicion of committees is prevalent.

3. Even if a new kind of CCPC is eventually to undertake the
coordination of requirements and collection, I feel that it is doomed to
a ponderous and perhaps impossible start unless the true needs of pro-
ducers have been carefully weighed against the actual capabilities of each
collector. This sort of job could be done by a bunch functioning as a
staff for the DCI, much better than members of a committee, too mindful
of individual interests. After the flensing had been accomplished by
AC/DCI and all problems honestly identified and laid bare, it is possible
that a committee could take off and dictate decisions. However, it is

**Subject: Proposal that AC/DCI Be Given Responsibility for Coordination
of Requirements and Collection**

equally possible that the fact-finding of AC/DCI might act as adequate persuasion for most agencies to correct most of the problems. The remainder would be presented by the DCI to USIB for decision. I believe this would be a healthier start than to wait for a committee. AC/DCI is an existing machinery and it would seem not inappropriate for the DCI to order a study, perhaps, ostensibly at least, as a prelude to forming a committee.

25X1



Special Assistant
Staff/SI

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Addressee
2 - SA/S

25X1

OSI:Staff: [redacted] :6516:bb (20 Feb 62)

TOP SECRET

SEC

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED

(SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ATTACHED MATERIAL SHOULD BE CIRCLED TOP AND BOTTOM)

ACTION SHEET

DATE REC'D IN IC		IC #	
DESCRIPTION OF ATTACHED MATERIAL:			
TYPE OF MATERIAL		DATED	
Memorandum			
FROM		TO	
AD/SI		AC/DCI	
SUBJECT			
Coordination of Requirements and Collection			
DELIVERED TO		DEADLINE DATE	FOLLOW-UP DATE

DIVISION ROUTING


TO	DATE	ACTION REQUIRED	INIT.	COMMENTS*
1. SA/Staff	11/7/76	Review		2. After you returned original memo to me I called [redacted] and we agreed on this type of approach.
2. DC/Staff	13/2/76	"		
3. DAD/C	17/2/76	"		
4. DAD/P	17/2/76	"		3.4: The proposal has merit but is an enormous task. Inasmuch as Task is proposed to receive this, recommendation is so forwarded.
5. SA/Staff	19/2/76			
6. AD/CI		Signature		
7. SA/ST				⑤ - I think this is jumping the gun.

5. I certainly agree with Phil. Para. 3 is a huge mistake taking 1. & 2. together. They describe a new, quite different, equipped & loaded.

3/4/5 - Tagore HS 12/4/62

2. After you returned original memo to me I called [redacted] and we agreed on this type of approach.

3+4: The proposal has merit but is an enormous task. Inasmuch as Tark is prepared to receive this, recommended to be forwarded.

⑤ - I think this is jumping the gun. The basic recommendation is CCPC has not been acted on by the DCI. Concurrently, SCAG has put forward recommendations re requirements structure and creating an index. All these things are related and recommending that after DCI start something else could cloud the waters even more. Suggest this be held up a while. 

INSTRUCTIONS: Under each comment a line should be drawn across sheet and each comment numbered to correspond with the number in the "TO" Column.

- * If comments bear a higher classification than the attached material, the security classification of this sheet be changed.

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
<input type="checkbox"/>	UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/>	CONFIDENTIAL
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	SECRET
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	Assistant for Coordination/DCI		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
<input type="checkbox"/>	ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	DIRECT REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/>	DISPATCH
<input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	FILE
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/>	INFORMATION
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	PREPARE REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	RECOMMENDATION
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.			DATE
OSI/Staff			
<input type="checkbox"/>	UNCLASSIFIED	<input type="checkbox"/>	CONFIDENTIAL
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	SECRET